

Date: 09/11/2010 **Ref**: 445/10/GP

AG2/10/ADC-Master-Doc Agenda item 3.2.1.

ACE

Architectural Design Contest

Introduction to the master document for an architectural design competition by the ACE

Final

1 Feasibility Study

Before launching a procurement procedure, the contracting authority / the promoter should clarify and examine the various parameters for the possible realisation of the intended project. In a preliminary phase it is important to clarify the needs, define the program and necessary space as well as evaluating a realistic financial framework. For this purpose the contracting authority should appoint an independent professional to establish the feasibility of the intended project. The feasibility study includes

- Evaluation of different sites
- Adequacy of the site for the intended project
- Specification of functions
- Clarification of programmatic needs and the definition of the spaces needed
- Definition of quality requirements, building standard and equipment
- Clarification of existing infrastructure
- Traffic solution, connections, public transport, parking etc.
- Evaluation of legal parameters and construction law
- Evaluation of the ecological impact of the project
- Evaluation of particular aspects of the site and/or the project (such as historic heritage protection, specific security requirements or health parameters to be respected)
- Definition of the necessary financial framework
- Time schedule for the procedure, planning and realisation
- Definition of energy standards
- Definition of standards concerning building material (grey energy)
- Sustainability aspects

The feasibility study as a clarification of fundamental aspects helps the promoter in taking basic decisions. It is not an obligation, but a highly recommended step for the organiser of a competition and a proof of responsible handling.

2 Financial check

- Feasibility study of the requirements listed in the brief to verify the adequacy of the finances.
- The result of the expert analysis and the brief of the competition should be approved by the jury members.

The brief

The contracting authority as promoter is responsible for the competition brief. For this task the contracting authority should ask a competent professional to coordinate and complete the brief.

The better the preparation of the procedure and the brief the better the result.

The more precisely the question is formulated the sharper the answers will be.

The setting of tasks, the evaluation criteria, the required documents from the participants and the composition of the jury must be addressed in the brief.

With the help of the feasibility study the brief sets up realistic parameters and defines the detailed program. The conclusions of the feasibility study should be reflected in the competition brief.

Architectural Design Contest

Introduction to the master document for an architectural design competition by

the ACE

Final

The work required from the participants must be adequate to the task and provide sufficient information for the understanding of the entry.

Date: 09/11/2010

Ref: 445/10/GP

Only such documents shall be requested from the participants for which a professionally competent evaluation is guaranteed.

The competition brief has to grant the largest spectrum of possibilities to solve the task.

The jury has to be announced in the brief.

The jury has to approve the brief.

In particular, the competition brief contains the following:

Procedural Regulations

- a) designation of the contracting authority
- b) indication of competition type and procedure
- c) declaration of liability concerning the regulations
- d) reference to governing regulations pertaining to public procurement law
- e) participation conditions and indication of deadline for fulfilling the conditions as well as those conditions concerning the contracting authorities say in case of extension of the participating group
- f) total prize-money sum for awards (prizes as well as maximum sum and conditions for eventual honourable mentions); information concerning how the prize-money sum was calculated and the approximate number of prizes to be awarded
 - http://www.arching.at/preisgeldrechner/
- g) contracting authority's intention concerning how the work will proceed further as well as the type and scope of the specified contract
- h) regulations concerning the procedure in the case of a disagreement
- names of the jury members, alternate jury members, and appointed experts
- names of the selected and/or invited participants j)
- k) schedule for the completion of the competition (registration date, deadline for questions and answers, time and place to deliver competition entries)
- index of the documents delivered to participants
- m) index of work required from participants and specifications concerning the representation of the
- n) type of identification code for all competition entry documents and obligation to declare the name of the author(s) and their collaborators in a sealed envelope to preserve anonymity

Regulations for formulating Tasks

- a) brief summary of the task of the competition and indication of the involved fields of expertise
- c) binding rules shall only be stated in very clear issues, where any other solution is absolutely excluded.
- d) acceptance of variants
- e) evaluation criteria

The ACE recommends that professional organisations at national or regional level offer consultation as well as an assessment of whether the competition brief is in agreement with its rules or not Such an assessment must be noted in the competition brief.

Questions and Answers

Up to a specified date, the participants can ask questions concerning the competition brief.

The jury responds in writing on behalf of the contracting authority, compiles all guestions and answers within the indicated time frame in a document available to all (forwarded to all) participants. After circulation of gu and ans reasonable time should be allowed for submission of entries.

The call for bids in a competition procedure is a request to enter a contractual agreement. With their participation, the participant accepts the request and concludes a contract. The competition brief, as well as the document with answers to the questions of the participants become constituent parts of this contract.

The result of the above points have to should be approved by the jury members.

Architectural Design Contest

Introduction to the master document for an architectural design competition by

Final

Date: 09/11/2010 Ref: 445/10/GP

Master document for an architectural design competition by the Architects Council of Europe

Note: this document can be used to prepare an architectural design competition according to the European legal framework (procurement directive) and the relevant recommendations by the ACE concerning architectural design competitions (10 rules). This document provides a template based on best practise experience and recommendations. A competition which uses this template provides the client with the best projects and safeguards the interests of both the client and the architects. The document can be used by public as well as by private clients.

A Introduction / invitation / general part
NAME of design competition:
Contracting Authority / private client
Name
Address
T
F
E
W
Contact person:
The contracting authority is bound to the legislation of public procurement / The client is private and is not bound to the legislation of public procurement
The client is private and is not bound to the legislation of public procurement
2. General introduction and description of the task.
Estimated cost of project / budget
3. Eligibility
A The constition is such to such that
A The competition is open to architects.
(Definition of the architect according to the qualifications directive)
D. The following proof(e) of cligibility is (are) requested:
B The following proof(s) of eligibility is (are) requested:
See directive: <u>Article 44</u>
2. The contracting authorities may require candidates and tenderers to meet minimum capacity levels
in accordance with Articles 47 and 48.
The extent of the information referred to in Articles 47 and 48 and the minimum levels of ability required for a specific contract must be related and proportionate to the subject matter

These minimum levels shall be indicated in the contract notice.

C In the case of a preselection: Criteria for the selection of candidate

Thematic Area Practice of the Profession Architectural Design Contest Introduction to the master document for an architectural design competition to the ACE Final	Date: 09/11/ Ref: 445/10/ py		
See directive: <u>Article 72</u> Selection of competitors			
Where design contests are restricted to a limited number of shall lay down clear and non-discriminatory selection criteria invited to participate shall be sufficient to ensure genuine con	. In any event, t		
notice: design contests are not open to members of the jury pasecretary. The business associates and the close relations from the competition. Furthermore, anyone who has be competition conditions or any person related to a funding para clear advantage over other competitors is ineligible.	of competition jeen involved in	udges are also the preparation	excluded n of the
4. Description of the ADC procedure / type of contest Open, restricted, one/ two	phase,	invited	etc.
See directive: <u>Article 74</u> 4. Anonymity must be observed until the jury has reached its	opinion or decisio	on	
5 Rules/laws/regulations applied: National procurement law Competition rules of (country, organisation)			
The competition complies with the 10 recommend cae.org/public/contents/getdocument/content_id/818)			www.ace-
6. Jury members			
Expert jury members			
Other jury members			
Advising members (participating in the jury meetings without(and substitute)	right to vote)		

Thematic Area Practice of the Profession Architectural Design Contest Introduction to the master document for an architectural design competition by the ACE Final Date: 09/11/2010 Ref: 445/10/GP	
(and substitute)(and substitute)	
See directive: Article 73 Composition of the jury	
The jury shall be composed exclusively of natural persons who are independent of participants in the contest. Where a particular professional qualification is required from participants in a contest, at least a third of the members of the jury shall have that qualification or an equivalent qualification. Article 74 Decisions of the jury	
 The jury shall be autonomous in its decisions or opinions. It shall examine the plans and projects submitted by the candidates anonymously and solely on the basis of the criteria indicated in the contest notice. It shall record its ranking of projects in a report, signed by its members, made according to the merits of each project, together with its remarks and any points which may need clarification. Anonymity must be observed until the jury has reached its opinion or decision. Candidates may be invited, if need be, to answer questions which the jury has recorded in the minutes to clarify any aspects of the projects. Complete minutes shall be drawn up of the dialogue between jury members and candidates. 	he
Recommendation: More than half of the jury members should be independent from the client and have the san qualification as the participants The chairperson of the jury has to be chosen from the group above. The professional organisations have to be involved in the selection of the jury members.	ne
7. Post-competition intention of client / follow-up contracts: The decision of the jury is binding on the contracting authority / client (see annex VIId pp-directive). The client intends to negotiate with the winner / the winners of the competition for further planning general planning works. The commission shall comprise the general scope of work of an architect or a general planning contract.	•
8. Jury report The evaluation of the entries has to be reported by the jury. The jury has to make recommendations concerning the further steps taken by the promoter and the future development of the winning entry.	he
9. Prize money / compensation	
There is a total price fund of to be divided	
In the case of a restricted procedure each participant should, in case of an invited competition each participant has to be compensated for a valid entry.	ch
The following prizes shall be awarded:	
1st prize	

Architectural Design Contest

Introduction to the master document for an architectural design competition by

the ACE Final

All together:

If it is deemed necessary, the prize fund will be divided by the jury unanimously in a different manner. In any case the whole sum of prize money has to be distributed.

Date: 09/11/2010

Ref: 445/10/GP

Recommendation:

<u>In an open competition</u> the prizes shall be in proportion to (1) the estimated amount of work done in submitting the entry, (2) preparing the required documents, and (3) the value of the entry to the commissioner, i.e. the utility of the competition for the commissioner.

The competition task and the required documents shall be described in the competition conditions sufficiently clearly for the competitor to be able to estimate the performance required.

In an open competition there will normally be three prizes, and other entries will be purchased at the same price.

<u>In a two-stage open competition</u> those entries which are submitted in accordance with the competition conditions and which are chosen for the second stage shall receive equal compensations on completion of the first stage. The actual prizes will be awarded on completion of the second stage.

10. Timetable
Publication / Announcement of the competition
Announcement of results (event)
Commencement of project
11. Copyright / Authors' rights: The copyright of all competition entries rests with the entrants. The contracting authority / co

The copyright of all competition entries rests with the entrants. The contracting authority / client have copyright of the competition entries for the purpose of publicity and exhibition only.

12. Organisation of the competition / Competition secretariat
(Company) Name
Address
Т
F
E
W

Recommendation:

The competition should be organised by an architect / professional entity.

13. Format of the submitted entries:

Every element of the submitted entry has to show:

- a number of six digits
- the name of the entry

The entry includes a sealed envelope with the number/name of the entry which contains the name of the author.

Final

Architectural Design Contest Introduction to the master document for an architectural design competition by

Table with areas, volumes and other relevant data.

The entries awarded prizes remain with the client, all other entries can be taken by the organiser and / or returned to participants.

Date: 09/11/2010

Ref: 445/10/GP

14. Complaint procedure / Settlement of disputes The procedure for the settlement of disputes is as follows: Appeal bodies:
B competition details
15. The task Description of the task, its background, its history Description of the urban framework, its history and its expected future, traffic planning, etc.
16. Room Program / Schedule of Accommodation See table annex XX
17. Legal framework (links to building regulations, norms, etc.) Description of the zoning plan, master plan, development scheme
18. In case of existing legal protection to the building, relevant neighbour buildings
19. Statement by the town planning department, or similar
19. Energy aspects and aspects concerning sustainability
20. Parking regulations and needs
21. Feasibility study (if it exists) and / or other existing studies, proposals, designs concerning the project
22. Geological survey
23. Submission requirements Floor plans 1/500 1/200 (town planning project/project) Relevant sections 1/500 1/200 Facades 1/500 1/200 Further adequate drawings
All drawings have to be delivered on A0/A1/ upright/horizontal.
Scale model 1/1000 1/500 (town planning project /urban design project) Participants to get a scale model with the surrounding area and the shape of terrain. Participants to get a board for the scale model to be put inserted / get advice on how to make the board.

Architectural Design Contest Introduction to the master document for an architectural design competition by

Final

The preparation of these tables it recommended to include the requirements of the project and to be filled in with the known data regarding the project.

Date: 09/11/2010

Ref: 445/10/GP

24. Evaluation / awarding criteria

24.1 Urban criteria
Urban fabric
Design of exterior spaces
Quality of landscape planning
04.0 A wall the extremal and the min
24.2 Architectural criteria
Design approach
Idea of the design
Structure
Architectural quality of spaces, appearance
24.3 Functional criteria
Development system exterior and interior
Zoning of interior areas, through ways, connections
Functionality of the solution
Traffic solution external and internal
24.4 Ecological criteria
Energy consumption
Area / volume factor
Façade areas
Use of building material
Maintenance
Sustainability
24.5 Economic criteria
Economic evaluation of the submission, by building cost and maintenance costs
Constructive system, engineering factors
Life cycle costs
C deguments
C documents
List of documents
Site plan
All other plans and documents needed

Architectural Design Contest

Introduction to the master document for an architectural design competition by

the ACE

Final

ANNEX

DIRECTIVE 2004 /18/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 31 March 2004

on the coordination of procedures for the award of public works contracts, public supply contracts and public service contracts

ANNEX VII D

INFORMATION WHICH MUST APPEAR IN DESIGN CONTEST NOTICES

CONTEST NOTICES

1. Name, address, fax number and email address of the contracting authority and those of the service from which the additional documents may be obtained

Date: 09/11/2010

Ref: 445/10/GP

- 2. Description of the project
- 3. Type of contest: open or restricted
- 4. In the event of an open contest: time limit for the submission of projects
- 5. In the event of a restricted contest:
- (a) number of participants contemplated
- (b) names of the participants already selected, if any
- (c) criteria for the selection of participants
- (d) time limit for requests to participate
- 6. If appropriate, indicate that the participation is restricted to a specified profession
- 7. Criteria which will be applied in the evaluation of the projects
- 8. Names of any members of the jury who have already been selected
- 9. Indicate whether the jury's decision is binding on the contracting authority
- 10. Number and value of any prizes
- 11. Payments to be made to all participants, if any
- 12. Indicate whether any contracts following the contest will or will not be awarded to the winner or winners of the contest
- 13. Date of dispatch of the notice

NOTICE OF THE RESULTS OF A CONTEST

- 1. Name, address, fax number and email address of the contracting authority
- 2. Description of the project
- 3. Total number of participants
- 4. Number of foreign participants
- 5. Winner(s) of the contest
- 6. Any prizes
- 7. Reference of the contest notice
- 8. Date of dispatch of the notice